

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | RST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| 10/763,886 | 01/23/2004 | Kathleen M. Frigon | MFCP.110228 | MFCP.110228 6020 | |
| | 7590 08/28/200 DY & BACON L.L.P. | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| (c/o MICROSC | FT CORPORATION) | WIENER | WIENER, ERIC A | | |
| 2555 GRAND | AL PROPERTY DEPA BOULEVARD | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| KANSAS CITY | Y, MO 64108-2613 | | 2179 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/28/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Applic | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/76 | 3,886 | FRIGON ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Exami | ner | Art Unit | | | | |
| * | | Eric A. | Wiener | 2179 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) file | d on <i>25 June 200</i> | 7. | | | | | |
| · | • | 2b) ☐ This action | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the a | pplication. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restric | tion and/or election | n requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | • | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/25/2007 and 1/23/2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| $\cdot =$ | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | TO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the following communications: Amendment filed on 1.

6/25/2007.

This action is made final.

Response to Amendment

The declaration filed on 6/25/2007 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is

ineffective to overcome the Girgensohn reference.

The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date

of reduction to practice of the Girgensohn reference to either a constructive reduction to practice

or an actual reduction to practice. There is no proof of due diligence between the span of

10/31/2003 through the effective filing date of 1/23/2004.

Objections

Claim 21 states that it has been amended, however the claim has not been amended. In 3.

addition, there is a grammatical error wherein it seems as if line 2 of claim 21 should read

"associated with said" instead of "associated said." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 4.

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/763,886

Art Unit: 2179

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 – 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by "Simplifying the Management of Large Photo Collections" by Girgensohn et al.

As per independent claim 1, Girgensohn discloses a computer-implemented method for presenting a set of items to a user comprising:

- dividing said set of items into one or more groups according to a selected characteristic (page 2, column 2, paragraph 3)
- presenting at least a portion of said set of items in accordance with said groups to the user on a display (page 3, column 1, paragraph 2);
- presenting a listing of said groups on said display (page 3, column 1, paragraph 1);
 and
- indicating on said display which of said groups contain one or more items currently visible to the user (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 13 19).

As per independent claims 15 and 28, Girgensohn discloses one or more computerreadable storage media storing a graphical user interface and a computer system for presenting a plurality of items to a user, both comprising:

- an item presentation area which displays at least a portion of a set of items to a user (page 3, column 1, paragraph 2), wherein said set of items is presented in one or more groups according to a selected characteristic (page 2, column 2, paragraph 3) and
- a table of contents area which displays a listing of said groups (page 3, column 1, paragraph 1) and which further displays an indicator showing which of said groups

Application/Control Number: 10/763,886

Art Unit: 2179

contain one or more items currently visible in said item presentation area (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 13 – 19).

As per claims 2, 16, and 29 and taking into account the rejection of claims 1, 15, and 28, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that one or more of the presented items are digital images (page 1, Abstract).

As per claim 3 and taking into account the rejection of claim 2, Girgensohn further discloses that at least one of the digital images is a digital photograph (page 1, Abstract).

As per claims 4 and 17 and taking into account the rejection of claims 1 and 15, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that said selected characteristic is a creation time or other associated date and/or time within a desired interval of time (page 2, column 1, paragraph 5; lines 10 – 12).

As per claims 5 and 18 and taking into account the rejection of claims 1 and 15, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that said selected characteristic is storage in a desired folder (page 2, column 1, paragraph 5, lines 4 - 7), wherein it is obvious that the application could also be designed to process and group photos from a single directory.

As per claim 6, and taking into account the rejection of claim 1, Girgensohn further discloses that said selected characteristic is associated with one or more desired keywords (page 6, column 2, paragraph 2).

As per claims 7, 20, and 30 and taking into account the rejection of claims 1, 15, and 28, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that the listing of said groups includes information associated with the contents of the groups (page 6, column 2, paragraph 2, line 1 – paragraph 3, line 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/763,886

Art Unit: 2179

As per claim 8, and taking into account the rejection of claim 7, Girgensohn further discloses that said information includes date and/or time information (page 6, column 2, paragraph 2, line 1 – paragraph 3, line 4), wherein each node displays tag information corresponding to the time/date.

As per claim 9, and taking into account the rejection of claim 7, Girgensohn further discloses that said information includes storage location information (page 6, column 2, paragraph 2, line 1 – paragraph 3, line 4), wherein each node displays tag information corresponding to an associated label, and thus, in the art of viewing collections of items from a storage location, an obvious label would be the storage location itself.

As per claim 10, and taking into account the rejection of claim 7, Girgensohn further discloses that said information includes associated keyword information (page 6, column 2, paragraph 2, line 1 – paragraph 3, line 4), wherein each node displays tag information corresponding to its associated keywords.

As per claims 11, 21, and 31 and taking into account the rejection of claims 1, 15, and 28, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that the listings of said groups includes information associated with said selected characteristic (page 6, column 2, paragraph 2, line 1 – paragraph 3, line 4).

As per claims 12, 22, and 32 and taking into account the rejection of claims 1, 15, and 28, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that indicating which of said groups contain one or more items are currently visible to the user includes presenting a visual indicator element with the listing of said groups (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 13 – 17).

As per claims 23, and 33 and taking into account the rejection of claims 22 and 32, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that said indicator displays the extent to which the groups having currently visible items are presented in the items presentation area (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 13 – 17).

As per claims 13, 24, and 34 and taking into account the rejection of claims 1, 15, and 28, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses *indicating volume information related to the* number of items in at least one of said groups (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 13 – 17).

As per claims 14, 25, and 35 and taking into account the rejection of claims 13, 24, and 34, respectively, Girgensohn further discloses that *indicating volume information includes* presenting one or more volume meters with the listing of said groups (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 13 – 17).

As per claim 26 and taking into account the rejection of claim 15, Girgensohn further discloses that said table of contents area is configured to receive a user input selecting a listing associated with a selected group (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 1 – 4).

As per claim 27 and taking into account the rejection of claim 26, Girgensohn further discloses that said user input causes at least a portion of the content of said selected group to be displayed in the item presentation area (page 6, column 2, paragraph 3, lines 1 – 10).

Conclusion

It is noted that any citation to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Heck, 699 F.2d 1331, 1332-

33,216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (quoting In re Lemelson, 397 F.2d 1006,1009, 158 USPQ 275, 277 (CCPA 1968)).

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's 6. disclosure. The cited documents represent the general state of the art.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric A. Wiener whose telephone number is 571-270-1401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2179

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric Wiener

Patent Examiner

A.U. 2179

BAHUYNH HMARY EXAMINER